1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ORE THE TWO 17:05 USDC ORE
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	Plaintiff, ) No. 05-60008-1-HO
5	v. ) August 5, 2005
6	AL-HARAMAIN ISLAMIC ) Eugene, Oregon
7	FOUNDATION, INC., et al., )
8	Defendants. )
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS M. COFFIN
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	<b>-:</b> -
13	
14	
15	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: CHRISTOPHER L. CARDANI United States Attorney's Office
17	405 E. 8th Avenue, Suite 2400 Eugene, OR 97401
18	(541) 465-6771 chris.cardani@usdoj.gov
19	chilb.cardanigabaoj.gov
20	FOR THE DEFENDANT: MARC D. BLACKMAN (via telephone) Ransom Blackman LLP
21	1001 SW Fifth Avenue Suite 1400
22	Portland, OR 97204 (503) 228-0487
23	marc@ransomblackman.com
24	COURT REPORTER: Deborah Wilhelm, CSR, RPR P.O. Box 1504
25	Eugene, OR 97440 (541) 431-4113



(Friday, August 5, 2005; 1:33 p.m.)

PROCEEDINGS

THE CLERK: Now is the time set for the matter of the United States of America versus the Islamic (sic) Foundation, Case No. 05-60008, status hearing regarding motion No. 10 to dismiss.

I have Mr. Blackman by telephone.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Blackman, did you receive a copy of the government's motion?

MR. BLACKMAN: I did, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Do you wish to respond in writing to it or orally, or how do you wish to proceed?

MR. BLACKMAN: I would like a chance actually to evaluate whether to respond or how to respond after I've had a chance to confer with others and do some research. I've done some preliminary research, but I don't feel quite ready to make any recommendations to my client yet.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BLACKMAN: And Mr. Cardani and I were discussing this before you took the bench. If I could have until the 15th to file a response, or at least notify the parties, the court, and Mr. Cardani, if we're going to oppose their motion -- let me say, I think we're going to oppose the motion. Whether we're going

to do more than simply make it a matter of record that we oppose it or not is what I don't know yet. If I can have until then to file whatever I was going to file in writing.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BLACKMAN: And I think Mr. Cardani and I were discussing whether the court would then allow him until the 22nd to respond, and then we could have it on the court's Monday calendar on the 29th.

THE COURT: That will work with me. All right.

I'll give you until the 15th to file any opposition.

I'll give the government until the 22nd to reply. And we'll set this over -- we'll vacate the hearing on Monday, August 8th, and set it over to August 29th at 1:30 for a hearing. And you can appear -- it's your choice -- either in person or by telephone.

MR. BLACKMAN: Very good. Thank you very much.

One thing I have been trying to struggle with,

Christy passed on to us yesterday the question you

raised about your jurisdiction as a magistrate judge. I

found one case and I thought it was dispositive, but I

read it more carefully and I'm not sure it is, but there
is a case out of the Eastern District of Virginia called

United States versus Edelman, E-D-E-L-M-A-N, which is at

809 F.Supp. 431. The actual issue in that case was

```
whether or not a magistrate's granting of a motion to
1
 2
    dismiss under Rule 48(a) was reviewable by an Article
 3
    III district court judge. But -- and it says it's not.
 4
    But then I realized, after more careful reading, that it
 5
    was a dismissal of a complaint. It wasn't a dismissal
 6
    of an indictment. And I don't know if there is a
 7
    difference.
 8
             THE COURT: Yeah, I don't either. Yeah, off
 9
    the top of my head, it wouldn't seem that there would be
10
    a distinction between dismissing an indictment on the
11
    motion of the government and dismissing a complaint on
12
    the motion of the government.
13
                            Right, that's -- and I don't
             MR. BLACKMAN:
14
    know if Mr. Cardani has had a chance to look at that
15
    issue, but I thought that was something else I would try
    to see if there is really any law on that jurisdictional
16
17
    question by the 15th.
18
             THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll just have the
19
    hearing on the 29th at 1:30.
20
             MR. BLACKMAN: Thank you very much.
21
             THE COURT: You bet.
22
             (The proceedings were concluded at 1:36 p.m.)
23
24
```

25

CERTIFICATE

I, Deborah Wilhelm, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of Oregon, do hereby certify that I was present at and reported in machine shorthand the oral proceedings had in the above-entitled matter. I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my skill and ability, dated this 30th day of June, 2009.

GRORTHAND PEROORIES OF OOR OF THE OOR OF THE

Deborah Wilhelm, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 00-0363